CORRIGENDUM / ERRATUM / RETRACTION


ERRATUM

Some important changes I would like to make in my published article as Corresponding author are listed below. Please see below for the corrected files:

Heading – Direct laryngoscopy versus Video laryngoscopy for expected difficult tracheal intubation – A prospective study (**Delete observational**)

- 1. Abstract In materials and methods section, line 2 change as were included in our study with 70 patients in each group.
- 2. Text File, in materials and methods section, line 8 to change as Patients in direct laryngoscopy group was observed during the period November 2015 to February 2016 and those with Videolaryngoscopy from March 2016 to June 2016 (4 months each).
- 3. Also in line 20, were equally included to either of the groups namely....

Results – Strobe diagram being modified. Chart 1. Strobe diagram of the study population



Kakkolil MP, Nair VA, Devi BR, Mohanan Nair JKK, Rachel CK. Direct laryngoscopy versus video laryngoscopy for expected difficult tracheal intubation a prospective study. *Indian J Clin Anaesth*. 2021;8(2):277-282.

The authors and publisher would like to apologize for any inconvenience or misunderstanding.