

To Evaluate Fentanyl as an Adjuvant to Intrathecal Bupivacaine for Lower Segment Cesarean Section

K. Hemnath Babu¹, Shashikanth G. Somani^{2,*}, Sonali Somani³, Venugopalan VM⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, Telangana, ²Assistant Professor, ⁴Professor, Dept. of Anaesthesiology, ³Assistant Professor, Dept. of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Telangana

***Corresponding Author:**

Email: drsgsomani@gmail.com

Abstract

Objective: To compare efficacy of subarachnoid block with bupivacaine alone and low dose bupivacaine with fentanyl as adjuvant in terms of, onset and duration of anaesthesia and post-operative analgesia.

Materials and Methods: Present prospective randomized case control study was conducted in 60 patients undergoing elective caesarean section. They were randomly divided into two groups of 30 each. Subarachnoid block was standardized. Haemodynamic parameters, onset and duration of sensory and motor blockade, post-operative analgesia and side effects (if any) were compared. Data was analysed using student's unpaired t-test.

Results: Onset of analgesia was earlier in Group BF (1.36±1.30min) compared to Group B (1.81±1.61min) which was statistically significant (p<0.05). Duration of two segment regression in Group BF (81.21±9.40min) was significantly prolonged then Group B (62.4±14.81min) which was statistically significant (p<0.05). Duration of sensory blockade in Group BF (124±9.36min) was significantly more than Group B (104.7±6.40min) which was statistically significant (p<0.05). In Group BF, onset of motor blockade was delayed and duration of motor blockade was less as compared to Group B, which was statistically not significant (p>0.05). Postoperative analgesia in Group BF (194±16.82min) was significantly prolonged then Group B (108.57±7.90 min) which was statistically significant (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Addition of fentanyl to bupivacaine resulted in faster onset of action and effective spinal anaesthesia with a lower dose of bupivacaine.

Keywords: Bupivacaine, Caesarean section, Fentanyl, Subarachnoid block

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.innovativepublication.com
	DOI: 10.5958/2394-4994.2016.00076.7

anesthesia without producing significant side effects and prolongs post-operative analgesia⁶⁻¹⁰.

Aim of present study was to compare efficacy of subarachnoid block with bupivacaine alone and low dose bupivacaine with fentanyl as adjuvant in terms of, onset of analgesia, duration of two segment regression time, duration of sensory blockade, onset of motor blockade, duration of motor blockade and duration of post-operative analgesia.

Introduction

Subarachnoid block is a preferred technique for caesarean delivery, as it is easy to perform, economical, produces rapid onset of anaesthesia and complete muscle relaxation with lower incidence of failed block, neonatal depression and aspiration pneumonitis¹. Intrathecal bupivacaine during caesarean section produce dose dependent sensory and motor block and cardiac toxicity². It is more potent than lignocaine and has a longer duration of action. It has been used in obstetric anaesthesia with remarkable safety³ but has slow onset of action and less motor blockade⁴. Therefore, intrathecal opioids are commonly added to it for potentiating their effects, reducing their doses and thereby side effects and complications. Opioids also prolong the duration of postoperative analgesia⁵. Fentanyl is a lipophilic opioid with a rapid onset following Intrathecal injection. It improves quality of

Material and Methods

After approval from institutional ethical committee, present prospective randomized case control study was conducted in Department of Anaesthesiology, Tertiary care hospital, during July 2009 to August 2010 in 60 patients posted for elective caesarean section.

Inclusion Criteria: Women between 18 to 30 years with ASA grade I and II posted for elective cesarean section were included.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients with ASA grade III and above, those posted for emergency cesarean section, allergic to study drugs, having contraindications to regional anaesthesia and those refused to participate in study were excluded

After a thorough pre-anaesthetic evaluation of all patients, a written and informed consent was obtained, both for conduct of study as well as administration of subarachnoid block. They were kept nil by mouth for

eight hours before surgery. Intravenous access was established with 18G intravenous canula and preloading was done with 15 ml/kg Lactated Ringer's (RL) solution and they were premedicated with intravenous ondansetron 4mg and ranitidine 50mg half-hour before the procedure. Anaesthesia machine, accessories, monitors and drugs were checked.

All patients were randomly divided into two groups using computer generated randomization technique.

- Group B (Bupivacaine group) (n=30)
- Group BF (Bupivacaine + Fentanyl group) (n=30)

Under strict aseptic precautions, in lateral position, subarachnoid block was performed at L3-L4 intervertebral space with a 25G spinal needle. Group B: Patients received 10mg of 0.5% heavy Bupivacaine (2ml) and Group BF: Patients received 7.5 mg of 0.5% heavy Bupivacaine (1.5ml) with 25µg preservative free Fentanyl (0.5ml).

Onset and duration of sensory blockade, duration of two segment regression, onset and duration of motor blockade (by modified Bromage scale), hemodynamic parameters like heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and mean arterial pressure at 2 minute intervals for first 10 minutes, then at 5 minute intervals for next 30 minutes and at 15 minute intervals till 2 hours after giving study drug, ECG, SpO₂ and post-operative complications (nausea, vomiting, shivering, pruritis) if any were noted. Duration of sensory blockade was taken from time of intrathecal injection to Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) > 2, at this point patients received rescue analgesia. Postoperatively, they were monitored for analgesia using VAS for 24 hours.

Sample size was calculated using Open Epi, Version 3, open source calculator – SS mean on internet with confidence interval of 99%, power of 95% and ratio of two groups at 1:1; which was minimum 26 participants per group. All data was expressed as Mean±Standard deviation(SD). Statistical analysis was done by student's unpaired t-test, p value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Demographic profile was comparable in both the groups (p-value > 0.05) (Table 1). Onset of analgesia was earlier in Group BF (1.36±1.30 min) compared to Group B (1.81±1.61 min) which was statistically significant (p<0.05). Duration of two segment regression in Group BF (81.21±9.40 min) was significantly prolonged then Group B (62.4±14.81 min) which was statistically significant (p<0.05). Duration of sensory blockade in Group BF (124±9.36 min) was significantly more than Group B (104.7±6.40 min) which was statistically significant (p<0.05). In Group BF, onset of motor blockade was delayed (1.78±3.70 vs 1.26±4.21 in Group B) and duration of motor blockade was less as compared to Group B (Group BF 73.4±12.70, Group B (96.4±8.21), which was statistically not significant (p>0.05)(Table 2).

Postoperative analgesia in Group BF (194±16.82 min) was significantly prolonged then Group B (108.57±7.90 min) which was statistically significant (p<0.05).(Table 3)

Nausea and pruritis were seen in both the groups whereas vomiting and shivering was seen only in Group B.(Table 4)

Table 1: Distribution according to Demographic profile (N=60)

S. No	Parameters	Group-BF (n=30) (Mean ± SD)	Group-B(n=30) (Mean ± SD)	p value
1	Age (year)	21.56±3.42	21.00±2.50	>0.05
2	Height (cm)	148.70±4.41	150.40±3.88	>0.05
3	Weight (kg)	52.63±4.95	54.96±5.30	>0.05
4	Duration of Surgery (min)	53.95±8.95	56.28±4.30	>0.05

p-value<0.05 is taken as significant

Table 2: Comparison of study parameters in both groups (N=60)

S. No	Parameters(Min)	Group-BF (n=30) (Mean ± SD)	Group-B (n=30) (Mean ± SD)	p- value
1	Mean onset of sensory blockade	1.36±1.30	1.81±1.61	<0.05
2	Mean two segment regression time	81.21±9.40	62.4±14.81	<0.05
3	Mean duration of sensory blockade	124±9.36	104.7±6.40	<0.05
4	Mean onset of motor blockade	1.78±3.70	1.26±4.21	>0.05
5	Mean duration of motor blockade	73.4±12.70	96.4±8.21	>0.05

p-value<0.05 is taken as significant

Table 3: Comparison of post-operative analgesia (N=60)

	Group-BF (n=30) (Mean ± SD)	Group-B (n=30) (Mean ± SD)	p-value
Post-operative analgesia(Min)	194±16.82	108.57±7.90	<0.05

p-value<0.05 is taken as significant

Table 4: Comparison of postoperative complications (N=60)

S. No	Complication	Group-BF (n=30)	Group-B(n=30)
1	Nausea	1(3.33%)	2(6.66%)
2	Vomiting	Nil	1(3.33%)
3.	Shivering	Nil	4(13.33%)
4.	Pruritis	5 (16.66%)	2 (6.66%)

Discussion

Many studies have shown that combination of opioids and local anesthetic agents administered intrathecally has a synergetic analgesic effect⁵. Intrathecal fentanyl has faster onset of action, it improves quality of intraoperative analgesia and also helps to reduce intrathecal doses of local anaesthetic agents. It is associated with less side effects and provides good postoperative analgesia¹¹.

Demographic profile and hemodynamic parameters were comparable in both the groups.

Onset of sensory blockade in BF groups was early then bupivacaine group, which is statistically significant ($p<0.05$). This shows fentanyl has accelerated onset of sensory blockade, this is in accordance with prior studies^{12,13,14,15,16}.

Duration of two segment regression and duration of sensory blockade was significantly prolonged in Group BF($p<0.05$). Sergio DB¹⁷ concluded that duration for regression below T12 dermatome was longer and increased with increasing dose of fentanyl. The prolonged sensory block suggests synergism between fentanyl and bupivacaine^{12,15}.

In Group BF, onset of motor blockade was delayed and duration of motor blockade was less as compared to Group B, which was statistically not significant ($p>0.05$) which is similar to previous studies^{12,14,16}. Onset of motor blockade was earlier in group B as 10 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine was used compared to only 7.5 mg in group BF and fentanyl has no effect on motor blockade. Early motor recovery in group BF decreases incidence of side effects like deep vein thrombosis, thereby reducing morbidity. Early mobilization also increases patient's comfort and reduces the emotional as well as psychological disturbance.

Intrathecal bupivacaine causes dose dependent inhibition of both A δ and C nerve fibers and there is no selectivity for either afferent or efferent pathways whereas intrathecal fentanyl selectively enhances the effects of bupivacaine on afferent nociceptive pathway, but without any effect on efferent pathway¹⁸.

Post operative analgesia was monitored using VAS Score. In Group BF, it was significantly prolonged then

Group B which was statistically significant ($p<0.05$). This suggests synergism between fentanyl and bupivacaine^{12,15}.

Side effects like nausea, vomiting, shivering and pruritis were observed during study period. Nausea following subarachnoid fentanyl is presumably due to their interaction with opioid receptors of the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ) located on floor of fourth ventricle. However, 25 μ g fentanyl which is highly lipophilic do not remain free in the cerebrospinal fluid long enough when administered in the subarachnoid space at the lumbar level to reach CTZ in sufficient concentration to induce vomiting. However, it sufficiently augments local anesthesia mediated block to decrease nociceptive stimulation which occurs during maneuvers like peritoneal traction and thus reduces nausea and vomiting¹⁹.

Shivering was observed only in Group B. Reduction in shivering with fentanyl could be due to decreased thermal inputs at the spinal cord²⁰. Opioids also stimulate cAMP formation which increases the thermo sensitivity in warm sensitive and moderate slope temperature insensitive neurons²¹.

Pruritis was noticed more in Group BF but was of short duration and low intensity and did not require any treatment. It could be due to activation of μ opioid receptors located in the dorsal horn of spinal cord¹⁸.

Conclusion

Fentanyl has synergistic action with bupivacaine. It provides excellent sensory blockade and prolongs post-operative analgesia. It also helps in reduction of the dose of bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia, thus reduces side effects associated with it and helps in early ambulation of patients thus assures better quality of anaesthesia.

References

1. Bogra J, Arora N and Srivastava P. Synergistic effect of intrathecal fentanyl and bupivacaine in spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. *BMC Anesthesiol* 2005;5:5.
2. Brizzi A, Greco F, Malvasi A, Valerio A and Martino V. Comparison of sequential combined spinal-epidural anesthesia and spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2005;71:701-709.

3. Wiebke G. Spinal anesthesia for obstetrics. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 2003;17(3):377-392.
4. Hallworth S P, Fernando R, Columb M O and Stocks G M. The effect of posture and baricity on spread of intrathecal bupivacaine for elective cesarean delivery. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 2005;100(4):1159-1165.
5. Tan PH, Chia YY, Lo Y, Liu K, Yang LC and Lee TH. Intrathecal bupivacaine with morphine or neostigmine for postoperative analgesia after total knee replacement. *Can J Anesth* 2001;48(6):551-556.
6. Chavada H, Mehta PJ and Vyas AH. A comparative study of intrathecal fentanyl and sufentanyl with bupivacaine heavy for postoperative analgesia. *Int J Anesthesiol* 2009;20:2-8.
7. Bano F, Sabbar S, Zafar S, Rafeeq N, Iqbal MN, Haider S, et al. Intrathecal fentanyl as adjunct to hyperbaric bupivacaine in spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. *Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan* 2005;16(2):87-90.
8. Biswas BN, Rudra A, Bose BK, Nath S, Chakrabarty S and Bhattacharjee S. Intrathecal fentanyl with hyperbaric bupivacaine improves analgesia during cesarean delivery and in early post-operative period. *Indian J Anesth* 2002;46(6):469-472.
9. Choi DH, Ahn HJ and Kim MH. Bupivacaine sparing effect of fentanyl in spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2000;25:240-245.
10. Obara M, Sawamura S, Satoh Y, Chinzei M, Sekiyama H and Tamai H. The effect of intrathecal fentanyl added to hyperbaric bupivacaine for cesarean section. *Masui* 2003;52(4):378-382.
11. Gielen MJ. Spinal anesthesia. *Curr Opin Anesthesiol* 1993;6:803-807.
12. Dhumal P R, Kolhe EP, Gunjal VB and Kurhekar VA. Synergistic effect of intrathecal fentanyl and bupivacaine combination for cesarean section. *Int J Pharm Biomed Res* 2013;4(1):50-56.
13. Sumesh T Rao and Samiksha Khanooja. A comparison of intrathecal bupivacaine with fentanyl to bupivacaine alone for elective caesarian section. *Indian Journal of Research* 2013;2(7):204-206.
14. Jaishri Bogra, Namita Arora and Pratima Srivastava. Synergistic effect of intrathecal fentanyl and bupivacaine in spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. *Anesthesiology* 2005;5:5.
15. Agrawal A, Agrawal S, Asthana V and Payal YS. Comparison of intrathecal fentanyl and sufentanil in addition to bupivacaine for caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia. *J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol* 2009;25:154-156.
16. Dahlgren G, Hultstrand C, Jakobsson J and Norman M. Intrathecal sufentanil, fentanyl or placebo added to bupivacaine for cesarean section. *Anesth Analg* 1997;85:1288-1293.
17. Belzarena SD. Clinical effects of intrathecally administered fentanyl in patients undergoing cesarean section. *Anesth Analg* 1992;74:653-657.
18. Wang C, Chakrabarti MK and Withwam JG. Specific enhancement by fentanyl bupivacaine on nociceptive afferent but not on sympathetic efferent pathways in dogs. *Anesthesiology* 1993;79:766-773.
19. Seyedhejazi M and Madarek E. The effect of small dose bupivacaine-fentanyl in spinal anesthesia on hemodynamics nausea and vomiting in cesarean section *Pak J Med Sci* 2007;23:747-750.
20. Techanivate A, Rodanant O and Tachawattanawisal W. Intrathecal fentanyl for prevention of shivering in cesarean section. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2005;88:1214-1221.
21. De Witte J and Sessler DI. Perioperative shivering: physiology and pharmacology. *Anesthesiology* 2002;96:467-484.