

Content available at: <https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals>

Indian Journal of Clinical Anaesthesia

Journal homepage: www.ijca.in**Guest Editorial****Understanding of anaesthesia and its implications in clinical practice present scenario****Manjula Sarkar**^{1,*}¹Dept. of Anaesthesia, D Y Patil School of Medical Sciences, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India**ARTICLE INFO***Article history:*

Received 19-03-2022

Accepted 07-04-2022

Available online 22-04-2022

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com**1. Introduction**

In modern era of biotechnological advancement, Understanding of anesthesia is changing not only amongst medical fraternity but even in lay public too. Modern practise is reliant on technology. In health-care systems with limited financial and human resources, technology initially made anaesthesia practicable, safe, and efficient.¹ Quality control and assurance is a necessity.

When I look towards the past people were in the impression that anesthesia means chloroform anesthesia, than era came when people were under the impression that one injection means anesthesia. Now understanding has changed lots, not only medical healthcare but even lay public knows what is anesthesia, different types of anesthesia, apart from basic monitoring even advance monitoring exist. Expected and unexpected complications can be taken care with all prophylactic measures. Recent advances in the technology of anesthesia is helping us in better outcomes. Anesthesia has been deemed a risk-free area of medicine, and its procedures have been approved. Workstations, ventilators, advanced monitors, development of superspecialty with separation from general anaesthesia, investigations (CT, MRI HRCT, DSA, CATH LABS, infusion pumps, electroencephalogram (EEG) monitoring, hemodynamic goals, and fluid resuscitation) have all been evaluated in specific clinical scenarios, including patient

populations with a high prevalence of comorbidity (cardiac surgery² and transcatheter aortic valve implantation). The safety of these systems has been thoroughly examined in larger populations.^{3,4} Feasibility studies on automated anaesthetic systems with distinct closed-loops for hypnosis, analgesia, and fluid management are being done.

2. Discussion

Monitoring has become less obtrusive as technology has advanced. For some years, cardiac output monitoring has been commercially available through analysis of the peripheral artery pressure waveform, which formerly required invasive catheters and thermodilution. Cerebral pulse oximetry, which uses a blood pressure cuff put on the finger, has similarly unlocked rich data with the potential for meaningful therapeutic benefit, including brain autoregulation assessment.^{5,6}

This monitoring device not only improves non-invasive data collecting, but it also enables for in-depth data analysis. Data from existing monitors of pulse oximetry, continuous end-tidal CO₂, and arterial pressure can be used to derive hemodynamic variables, similar to the treasure trove of data that can be extracted from an electrocardiogram or the measurement of cardiac output via pulse-wave contour analysis of the radial arterial line.⁷ The analgesia nociception index, surgical pleth index, and nociception level index are a few examples.^{8,9} Telemedicine is a natural byproduct of modern videoconferencing technologies in the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drmanjusarkar@gmail.com (M. Sarkar).

consumer market and their application in the perioperative surgical home. Anesthesia providers must document their work as part of their job. Previously, vital signs, hydration status, and sedation level were sent directly from the patient to the practitioner through direct observation, monitors, or the anaesthetic workstation. Technology has various benefits over medical innovation, including better results at a lesser cost for more patients. Barriers to innovation include development costs and regulations. The price of implementation must account for the expenses of developing innovative technologies. Patients and society gain from anesthesiology innovation.

The availability of newer medications, the availability of more sophisticated monitoring devices, improved patient awareness, the application of newer medico-legal legislation, and professional competition have all demanded the provision of high-quality anaesthetic services. Quality assurance is defined as a systematic process for examining and evaluating health-care services in order to improve practise or treatment quality.¹⁰ The goal of quality assurance is to deliver high-quality anaesthetic care, with a focus on perioperative patient safety, risk reduction, and continuous quality improvement via rigorous self-examination.¹¹ In today's clinical practise, safety, effectiveness, and the patient's overall experience with the anaesthetic treatment are all important indicators of anaesthetic quality.¹²

In recent years, anaesthesia experts have launched a number of new projects to enhance techniques for monitoring and reporting the quality of care offered to patients across the globe. Receiving input from patients and clinicians is one of the most important ways to improve the quality of anaesthetic treatments. Continuous quality measurement attention is necessary, which may be gauged via effective monitoring and so contribute to the preservation and advancement of care standards. Monitoring is required to discover and appreciate the factors that lead to varying levels of care quality. An continual endeavour to find and respond to a wide range of potential outcomes in order to improve anaesthetic treatment standards. Finally, the significance of the adjustments made by the anaesthetic services in question must be evaluated. Identifying quantitative indicators alone is insufficient to complete the quality assurance process. To support and improve quality control standards, data collection techniques should be thorough, with appropriate feedback. Transparency, reliability, measurability, development flexibility, and, most crucially, a scientific platform are all required traits for quality indicators to be successful. In 1979, the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals (JCAH) created a quality assurance standard with the purpose of focusing all quality-related activities on problem-solving and combining them into a comprehensive programme. The basic idea

was that if review efforts were focused on identifying and correcting problems in patient care, overall quality of care would improve. The goal of this approach is to demonstrate an improvement in care or clinical performance by addressing major patient care concerns.¹³

Monitoring quality indicators and anaesthesia outcomes is a complicated and time-consuming process in regular practise. There are an infinite amount of quality indicators that have been identified through various literary observations. One of the systematic research discovered 108 quality markers, the majority of which are expected to influence the quality of anaesthetic treatments. The remaining ones might be beneficial for evaluating surgery and post-operative care.

A great deal has been written and seen in ordinary anaesthetic practise on hazards and safety concerns.¹⁴ The following methods, which may include but are not limited to, may help to enhance the quality of anaesthetic treatments.

The appropriateness of the pre-anesthesia evaluation is the first stage that a physician must accomplish. This may be assessed by analysing if the medicine being used is appropriate for the patient's age or the therapy being performed. Any adverse event, such as a broken tooth, the need for re-intubation and difficulties during difficult airway management, the diagnosis and treatment of cardiac and other co-morbid disease-related complications, fluid overload, and many more, may be evaluated. Post-operative complications such as hypotensive episodes, arrhythmias, respiratory complications, intake-output ratio, temperature fluctuations, or the causes of any prolonged stay in the recovery room can be evaluated to determine the quality of recovery care both in the recovery room and after patient discharge. The data gathered during the pre-anesthetic visit may be used to evaluate the quality of anaesthetic documentation and record keeping. This includes, but is not limited to, drug history, systemic sickness history, including allergies, adverse drug reactions, addiction history, past anaesthetic experience, current medications, and so on. The adequacy of anaesthesia treatment documentation will be assessed both during the surgical procedure and in the post-operative recovery area. Over the last several decades, the evidence-based and scientifically driven examination of various surgeries and anaesthetic techniques has resulted in substantial advancements in anaesthetic delivery systems. It has also allowed for a remarkable reduction in anesthetic-related mortality and morbidity, to the point that death is no longer considered a credible indicator of excellent anaesthetic therapy. One of the primary reasons for this indicator's omission from anaesthesia quality evaluation is that fatality is an uncommon occurrence in current anaesthesia practise and is often attributable to conditions outside an anesthesiologist's control.¹⁵

Quality improvement methods should be considered and summarised as follows: Reporting of incidents on a voluntary basis. It entails giving health-care professionals a way to report incidents, and it's often regarded as a good way to enhance quality. Reporting incidences that may jeopardise the safety of patients and health-care personnel is the foundation for developing policies and programmes to enhance services.¹⁶

3. Multidisciplinary Approach

To improve therapy, an organised programme with a common aim among multidisciplinary participants inside the clinical area, across clinical areas, or across several health-care facilities is required. It involves: Identifying evidence-based strategies linked to better results. Choose the interventions that will have the greatest influence on outcomes. Measures to assess the intervention or the results should be developed and implemented. Calculate your baseline performance. Engagement, education, execution, and evaluation are all used to carry out the essential interventions.

Comprehensive unit based safety program: It is a six-step approach that focuses on learning from failures and building culture in critical care units. The steps are as follows: **Measuring the culture of safety:** The safety attitudes questionnaire was used to assess the safety culture among the employees. **Educative material presentation:** Lectures and other instructional tactics are used. **Questionnaires** are used to discover patient safety risks. **Assigning a senior executive to be in charge of a specific area:** Who aids in the prioritisation of safety activities, the removal of impediments to system modifications, the provision of resources, and the development of connections with employees? **Project implementation:** concentrating on two to three topics. **Measurement of safety culture** should be done on a regular basis. Daily target sheets, briefings and debriefings, and checklists are all included.

As a patient, the occurrences of pain, nausea, and vomiting, as well as the overall experience throughout the recovery period after surgical treatment, are all connected to the quality of anaesthesia. To evaluate such traits and attributes, the principal examiner must employ evidence-based assistance in the form of objective scales and subjective judgments assessed on numerous dimensions.¹⁷ Several attempts have been made throughout history to quantify post-operative patient satisfaction; several questionnaires have been devised and validated by the relevant researchers during the course of these scientific inquiries.^{18–24} Myles et al. created a nine-point scale that includes features from a broader 40-item exam, such as overall well-being, social support, understanding of instructions, respiratory function, bowel function, nausea and pain, and many more.²⁵ Post-operative nausea and vomiting, as well as post-operative pain, are the two most

important elements in determining the quality of recovery throughout the post-operative period. Numerous studies have mentioned numerous ways for reducing nausea and vomiting in post-operative day care settings as well as after hospital release.²⁶ However, scientific reliability and validity can be proven only when a large number of patients have been evaluated, since these side effects have a considerable negative influence on patient satisfaction.²⁷ Furthermore, the anaesthetic drugs' and procedures' balance of analgesic and antiemetic effects, patient characteristics, and the kind and duration of the surgical operation all contribute to and impact these undesirable outcomes. A variety of pain assessment tools are available in the post-anaesthesia care unit, including the visual analogue scale, numerical rating scale, verbal rating scale, and behavioural scale.²⁸ Post-operative pain reduction has been extensively explored over the last two decades, resulting in the creation of innovative, more effective methods and ways to reduce it. The fact that many pain treatment organisations have cropped up all over the globe in the last two decades demonstrates the necessity to tackle this perioperative and post-operative hazard. These organisations and groups are working relentlessly to improve our knowledge of the pathophysiologic foundation of pain as well as treatment options in the most basic of ways.

Quality indicators include data and statistics that may be used to identify disparities in the quality of care provided. These distinctions and data must be converted into a helpful tool for future anaesthetic care quality improvement.²⁹ When there is no feedback, the quality of a monitoring system linked to quality control cannot be enhanced beyond a certain point. In most circumstances, offering feedback has a minor to moderate influence on professional practice.³⁰ Improvement attempts and measures that do not contain feedback reports in their domain are frequently less effective than those that do, regardless of whether or not an implementation plan is included.^{31,32} Certain obstructions, such as a lack of trust in data quality, a lack of intensity in feedback, and a lack of desire, may hinder feedback-accessible information. These factors, which include, but are not limited to, proper timeliness, information dissemination, trust in data quality, and a confidential or non-judgmental tone, are all crucial to the efficacy of a feedback system.³³

Simple information distribution is seldom successful in changing organisational behaviour, particularly in the high-stress environments of operating rooms and critical care units. Rather than simple passive treatments, the quality of anaesthetic care may be enhanced by implementing comprehensive interventions that may include educational components. However, vigilance should be maintained in identifying and removing impediments to quality improvement, such as ignorance, a lack of accurate data, a lack of supporting local administration, and a lack of hospital resources.

Once the underlying cause of a problem has been identified by those in charge of taking corrective action, remedial actions must be carried out. Changes to departmental policies and procedures, service programmes, staff, systems, or equipment should be introduced as required. These metrics may also help in assessing each employee's performance.

Attention should be paid to the concerns that have been identified and addressed in order to guarantee that they are permanently corrected or reduced in order to achieve effective and continuous quality improvement in anaesthetic services. As one of the most essential indicators of anaesthetic therapy, follow-up and monitoring of the worried issue is vital to avoiding recurrence of the problem.

This constant practise of monitoring and problem-solving is the foundation of a hospital quality assurance programme. As a result, enthusiastic and effective efforts should be made to assist each clinical support service, with the outcome of enhanced patient care possible if relevant information is conveyed and acted upon among other hospital-wide or medical staff activities. There is a growing need to encourage coworkers, since this may be quite beneficial in bringing about organisational transformation. An in-depth examination of motivating variables in the healthcare business may also aid in the implementation of qualitative improvements in anesthesiology practise.³⁴

Disclosure to Patients and Relatives: Any unpleasant perioperative episode that may have happened during the administration of anaesthesia and which may result in problems, either immediately or later, or which may cause excessive anguish to the patient should be reported to the patient's family. As society moves toward global social, technological, and clinical developments, the notions of quality assurance and quality control are fast gaining favour in surgical sciences. Quality indicators will be used to monitor anaesthetic services in the future, and perioperative outcomes will be determined by them.

Instructions for use Induction drugs, intravenous fluids, or colloids vs crystalloids in the OR, Neuromuscular Blocking Agents, Opioids and Benzodiazepines, and inhalation anaesthetics should all be evaluated and reported to the Department of Anesthesiology. Appropriate anaesthetic practise and implementation of evidence-based practise in anaesthesia is an appeal for improved training.

The job of the anesthesiologist is often misunderstood by patients. Uncertainty about his or her role is a matter for worry. In industrialised nations, studies have looked at patients' perceptions of the perioperative environment and how it influences anaesthetic service quality, particularly non-technical factors. There is a severe lack of physician anesthesiologists in our nations, hence non-physician anesthesiologists are utilised instead. When the face mask is withdrawn or the needle is taken from the patient's vein, the anesthesiologist's work is not done. He is on the lookout for anaesthetic difficulties such as nausea, atelectasis, and

pain, and he may utilise the rapport he established with the patient before to surgery to assist the patient relax about future anaesthetics. Surgeons have trust in anesthesiologists as a result of this practise. Even in cases of Res Ipsa Loquitur, it is difficult to demonstrate anesthesiologists' negligence with this approach. There are deficiencies in anesthesiologists' abilities, gaps in duties, and maybe flaws in the anesthesiologists' training programme. Assessments of communication skills should be included in residency training programmes since excellent physician-patient communication (verbal and nonverbal) influences aspects such as patient satisfaction, patient compliance, and medical outcome.

4. Conclusion

To conclude I would like to say understanding of anaesthesia is much better in today's world. Anaesthesia is safe in all aspects amongst anaesthesiologist. Present scenario is having better impression about anaesthesia.

5. Source of Funding

None.

6. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Hariharan S, Merritt-Charles L, Chen D. Patient perception of the role of anesthesiologists: A perspective from the Caribbean. *J Clin Anesth.* 2006;18(7):504–9.
2. Roman DA. Importance of postoperative rounds. *Anesth Analg.* 1957;36(2):35–7.
3. Schnider TW, Minto CF, Struys MM. The Safety of Target-Controlled Infusions. *Anesth Analg.* 2016;122(1):79–85.
4. Brogi E, Cyr S, Kazan R, Giunta F, Hemmerling TM. Clinical Performance and Safety of Closed-Loop Systems: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Anesth Analg.* 2017;124(2):446–55.
5. Desebbe O, Joosten A, Denault A. Cerebral Oximetry and Mean Arterial Pressure: Not a Straight Relationship, the Flow Between? *Anesth Analg.* 2019;129(6):e203–4.
6. Aglio LS, Gugino LD, Mizuguchi KA. Commentary: At long last-Cerebral oximetry-based goal directed therapy to prevent postoperative cognitive decline is here. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2020;159(3):954–5.
7. Tusman G, Bohm SH, Suarez-Sipmann F. Advanced Uses of Pulse Oximetry for Monitoring Mechanically Ventilated Patients. *Anesth Analg.* 2017;124(1):62–71.
8. Funcke S, Sauerlaender S, Pinnschmidt HO, Saugel B, Bremer K, Reuter DA, et al. Validation of Innovative Techniques for Monitoring Nociception during General Anesthesia: A Clinical Study Using Tetanic and Intracutaneous Electrical Stimulation. *Anesthesiology.* 2017;127(2):272–83.
9. Edry R, Recea V, Dikust Y, Sessler DI. Preliminary Intraoperative Validation of the Nociception Level Index: A Noninvasive Nociception Monitor. *Anesthesiology.* 2016;125(1):193–203.
10. Adams AK. Quality assurance in anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia.* 1983;38:311–3.

11. Holland K. Proposed changes for nurse education in England (UK) as a result of the Darzi report (DoH, 2008a) Health Quality Care for All–NHS next stage review final report: some initial observations. *Nurse Educ Pract.* 2008;8(5):299–301.
12. Benn J, Arnold G, Wei I, Riley C, Aleva F. Using quality indicators in anaesthesia: Feeding back data to improve care. *Br J Anaesth.* 2012;109(1):80–91.
13. Walczak RM. JCAH perspective: quality assurance in anesthesia services. *AANA J.* 1982;50(5):462–4.
14. Bajwa SJ, Kaur J. Risk and safety concerns in anesthesiology practice: The present perspective. *Anesth Essays Res.* 2012;6(1):14–20.
15. Gaba DM. Anaesthesiology as a model for patient safety in health care. *BMJ.* 2000;320(7237):785–8.
16. Hetmiller ES, Martinez EA, Pronovost PJ. Quality improvement. In: Miller's Anesthesia. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone; 2010. p. 81–92.
17. Haller G, Stoelwinder J, Myles PS, Mcneil J. Quality and safety indicators in anesthesia: A systematic review. *Anesthesiology.* 2009;110(5):1158–75.
18. Whitty PM, Shaw IH, Goodwin DR. Patient satisfaction with general anaesthesia. Too difficult to measure? *Anaesthesia.* 1996;51(4):327–32.
19. Fung D, Cohen M. What do outpatients value most in their anesthesia care? *Can J Anaesth.* 2001;48(1):12–9.
20. Heidegger T, Husemann Y, Nuebling M, Morf D, Sieber T, Huth A, et al. Patient satisfaction with anaesthesia care: Development of a psychometric questionnaire and benchmarking among six hospitals in Switzerland and Austria. *Br J Anaesth.* 2002;89(6):863–72.
21. Auquier P, Pernoud N, Bruder N, Simeoni MC, Auffray JP, Colavolpe C, et al. Development and validation of a perioperative satisfaction questionnaire. *Anesthesiology.* 2005;102(6):1116–23.
22. Capuzzo M, Landi F, Bassani A, Grassi L, Volta CA, Alvisi R. Emotional and interpersonal factors are most important for patient satisfaction with anaesthesia. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2005;49(6):735–42.
23. Chanthong P, Abrishami A, Wong J, Herrera F, Chung F. Systematic review of questionnaires measuring patient satisfaction in ambulatory anaesthesia. *Anesthesiology.* 2009;110(5):1061–7.
24. Myles PS, Hunt JO, Nightingale CE, Fletcher H, Beh T, Tanil D, et al. Development and psychometric testing of a quality of recovery score after general anaesthesia and surgery in adults. *Anesth Analg.* 1999;88(1):83–90.
25. Myles PS, Weitkamp B, Jones K, Mellick J, Hensen S. Validity and reliability of a postoperative quality of recovery score: The QoR-40. *Br J Anaesth.* 2000;84(1):11–5.
26. Bajwa SS, Bajwa SK, Kaur J, Sharma V, Singh A, Singh A, et al. A novel approach to control postoperative nausea and vomiting in day care surgery. *Saudi J Anaesth.* 2011;5(1):19–24.
27. Macario A, Weinger M, Carney S, Kim A. Which clinical anesthesia outcomes are important to avoid? The perspective of patients. *Anesth Analg.* 1999;89(3):652–8.
28. Aubrun F, Paqueron X, Langeron O, Coriat P, Riou B. What pain scales do nurses use in the postanesthesia care unit? *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2003;20(9):745–9.
29. Hysong SJ, Best RG, Pugh JA. Audit and feedback and clinical practice guideline adherence: Making feedback actionable. *Implement Sci.* 2006;p. 1–9. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-1-9.
30. Jamtvedt G, Young JM, Kristoffersen DT, O'brien MA, Oxman AD. Does telling people what they have been doing change what they do? A systematic review of the effects of audit and feedback. *Qual Saf Health Care.* 2006;15(6):433–6.
31. deVos M, Graafmans W, Kooistra M, Meijboom B, DerVoort PV, Westert G. Using quality indicators to improve hospital care: a review of the literature. *Int J Qual Health Care.* 2009;21(2):119–29.
32. Chaillet N, Dubé E, Dugas M, Audibert F, Tourigny C, Fraser WD, et al. Evidence-based strategies for implementing guidelines in obstetrics: A systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;108(5):1234–45.
33. Veer SNV, DeKeizer NF, Ravelli AC, Tenkink S, Jager KJ. Improving quality of care. A systematic review on how medical registries provide information feedback to health care providers. *Int J Med Inform.* 2010;79(5):305–23.
34. Bajwa SJ, Viridi SS, Bajwa SK, Ghai GK, Singh K, Rana CS, et al. In depth analysis of motivational factors at work in the health industry. *Ind Psychiatry J.* 2010;19(1):20–9.

Author biography

Manjula Sarkar, Professor  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2062-3195>

Cite this article: Sarkar M. Understanding of anaesthesia and its implications in clinical practice present scenario. *Indian J Clin Anaesth* 2022;9(2):164-168.